




08-04-00

Attorney Docket

No. B-64909 (013377/0058)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Box **PATENT APPLICATION**

Assistant Commissioner

for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of:

Inventors: Clyde Maxwell Guest, et al.

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INSPECTING BUMPED WAFERS

Enclosed are:

<u>1</u> pages of abstract	<u>X</u>	Combined Declaration/
<u>21</u> pages of specification		Power of Attorney
<u>5</u> pages of claims	<u>X</u>	Assignment & Recordation Page
<u>6</u> pages of informal drawings	<u>X</u>	Other: Check & Post Card Receipt
<u>X</u> Post Office Express Mail Certificate No. EL 524304376 US		

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:


For:	No. Filed	No. Extra	Rate	<u>Large Entity</u> Fee
Basic Fee				\$690.00
Total Claims	20 - 20 =	-0-	x \$ 18.	0.00
Indep. Claims	3 - 3 =	-0-	x \$ 78.	0.00
Multiple dependent claims	-none-		+ \$260.	0.00
TOTAL				\$690.00

Our check in the amount of \$690.00 in payment of the filing fee is enclosed.

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency or to credit any fee overpayment relating to this matter to Deposit Account No. 01-0657. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 3, 2000

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Christopher J. Rourke  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 39, 348

AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.  
P.O. Box 688  
Dallas, TX 75313-0688  
Phone: 214/969-2800

BOX PATENT APPLICATION  
Assistant Commissioner for  
Patents  
Washington, D. C. 20231

"Express Mail" Mailing Label No. EL524304376US  
Date of Deposit: August 3, 2000

Thereby certify that the papers enclosed herein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and addressed to: **BOX PATENT APPLICATION, Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.**

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

August 3, 2000  
Date of Signature

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study population	
Age (years)	50.0 ± 10.0
Gender	
Male	50.0%
Female	50.0%
Marital status	
Married	80.0%
Single	20.0%
Education level	
High school or above	60.0%
Below high school	40.0%
Occupation	
Professional	30.0%
Managerial	20.0%
Technical	10.0%
Service	20.0%
Unemployed	20.0%
Income (USD/month)	
< 1000	10.0%
1000-2000	30.0%
2000-3000	20.0%
> 3000	40.0%
Health status	
Good	70.0%
Fair	20.0%
Poor	10.0%
Smoking status	
Smoker	30.0%
Non-smoker	70.0%
Alcohol consumption	
Regular	10.0%
Occasional	20.0%
Never	70.0%
Family size	
1-2	30.0%
3-4	40.0%
5-6	20.0%
> 6	10.0%
Health insurance	
Yes	80.0%
No	20.0%
Chronic diseases	
Hypertension	15.0%
Diabetes	10.0%
Heart disease	5.0%
Other	10.0%
Medication use	
Regular	20.0%
Occasional	10.0%
Never	70.0%
Healthcare utilization	
Regular	30.0%
Occasional	20.0%
Never	50.0%
Healthcare satisfaction	
Satisfied	60.0%
Dissatisfied	40.0%
Healthcare access	
Easy	70.0%
Difficult	30.0%
Healthcare cost	
Low	50.0%
High	50.0%
Healthcare quality	
Good	60.0%
Fair	20.0%
Poor	20.0%
Healthcare safety	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare effectiveness	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare equity	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare sustainability	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare accountability	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare transparency	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare integrity	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare responsibility	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare respect	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare dignity	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare privacy	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare security	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare justice	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare freedom	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare equality	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare solidarity	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare cooperation	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare participation	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare inclusion	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare exclusion	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare discrimination	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare harassment	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare abuse	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare neglect	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare mistreatment	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare harm	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare injury	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare damage	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare loss	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare destruction	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare annihilation	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare eradication	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare extermination	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare genocide	
High	60.0%
Low	40.0%
Healthcare massacre	
High	70.0%
Low	30.0%
Healthcare slaughter	
High	60.0%

5 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SPECIFICATION

accompanying

10

Application for Grant of U.S. Letters Patent

15

TITLE: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INSPECTING BUMPED WAFERS

20

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention pertains to the field of image data processing. More specifically, the invention relates to a system and method for inspecting bumped wafers that  
25 uses data from a two-dimensional image data analysis to perform a three-dimensional image data analysis.

# BACKGROUND

Image data processing is used to inspect items during and after the manufacturing process. Such image data is typically gathered using a digital camera or other device that digitizes image data within the focal field of the device. The digitized image data is then analyzed, either manually or by software systems or other digital systems.

In cases where the image data is more complex, it is often necessary to manually review the image data before software systems can be used. For example, image data of dies that have been formed on a silicon wafer may be inspected by software systems. Nevertheless, areas of the die may have to be manually selected that cannot be analyzed by the software systems, such as areas that require three-dimensional analysis. Such three-dimensional analysis can require placing a three-dimensional sensing component, such as a laser track, on features of the component. Image data from the feature, as illuminated by the three-dimensional sensing component, is then analyzed so as to determine the coordinates in three dimensions of the illuminated feature.

Although analysis of image data by software systems is useful, the manual selection of features from which to obtain image data can be the largest time component of the inspection process. As a result, the three-dimensional inspection of features of components can be time-consuming and require operator resources. Furthermore, operator-assisted inspection can result in high levels of mistakes, such as missed features, improperly illuminated features, or other problems.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention, a system and method for inspecting components are provided that overcome known problems with inspecting components.

5 In particular, a system and method for inspecting components are provided that uses two-dimensional inspection data to locate features by which to control the three-dimensional inspection of the component.

10 In accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, a system for inspecting a component is provided, such as a die cut from a silicon wafer. The system includes a two dimensional inspection system that can locate features on the component, such as bump contacts. The two dimensional inspection system can generate feature  
15 coordinate data for the located features. A three dimensional inspection system is connected to the two-dimensional inspection system. The three dimensional inspection system receives the feature coordinate data from the two dimensional inspection system, and generates  
20 inspection control data, such as data for controlling the placement of a laser track. The three dimensional inspection system then inspects the component after generating the inspection control data.

25 The present invention provides many important technical advantages. One important technical advantage of the present invention is a system and method for inspecting a component that uses feature coordinate data from a two-dimensional inspection to perform a three-dimensional inspection. The present invention thus combines two-dimensional inspection  
30 techniques with three-dimensional inspection techniques in a manner that allows three-dimensional inspection control data to be determined without operator input.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIGURE 1 is a diagram of a system for processing image data in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

5       FIGURE 2 is a diagram of a system for performing two-dimensional image data analysis in accordance with exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

10       FIGURE 3 is a diagram of a system for performing a three-dimensional image data analysis in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

FIGURE 4 is a diagram of two exemplary inspection schemes in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

15       FIGURE 5 is a diagram of a method for inspecting components in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

20       FIGURE 6 is a diagram of a method for performing a two-dimensional and three-dimensional inspection of a component in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention; and

FIGURE 7 is a diagram of two exemplary image data sets in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

005750-0000

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In the description which follows, like parts are marked throughout the specification and drawings with the same reference numerals, respectively. The drawing figures may not be to scale and certain components can be shown in generalized or schematic form and identified by commercial designations in the interest of clarity and conciseness.

**FIGURE 1** is a diagram of a system 100 for processing image data in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. System 100 can be used to perform three-dimensional image analysis of image data based upon the results of a two-dimensional image analysis, and can generate inspection control data to control the placement of the component during inspection.

System 100 includes two-dimensional analyzer system 102, which can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software, and which can be one or more software systems operating on a processor of a WAV-1000 Wafer Inspection System, available from Semiconductor Technologies and Instruments of Plano, Texas. As used herein, a software system can include one or more lines of code, objects, agents, subroutines, separate software programs, two or more lines of code operating in two or more separate software programs, or other suitable software architectures. In one exemplary embodiment, a software system includes one or more lines of code operating in a general purpose software application, such as an operating system, and one or more lines of code operating in a specific purpose software application.

Two-dimensional analyzer system 102 is coupled to digital image processor system 108 through communications medium 112. As used herein, the term "couple" and its



5 cognate term such as "couples" and "coupled" can include a physical connection (such as through a copper conductor), a virtual connection (such as through randomly assigned memory locations of a data memory device), a logical connection (such as through logical gates of a semiconducting device), other suitable connections, or suitable combinations of such connections. In one exemplary embodiment, systems or components are coupled to other systems or components through intervening systems or components, such as through an operating system of a computing platform.

10 Two-dimensional analyzer system 102 receives digital image data from digital image processor system 108. Digital image processor system 108 can include one or more digital imaging devices, such as digital cameras or digital scanners, which receive image data from a field of view and convert the image data into digitized data. In one exemplary embodiment, digital imager processor system 108 converts digital image data into an N x M picture element ("pixel") array, where each pixel includes brightness data varying from a minimum to a maximum value, such as from 0 to 255. Digital image processor system 108 receives the image data and converts the image data into a data having a predetermined format. This data is provided to two-dimensional analyzer system 102, which can analyze the data to locate one or more features.

25 For example, two-dimensional analyzer system 102 can use feature identification procedures to locate one or more features on the component, such as a silicon wafer having bump contacts. The coordinate data for the location of each of the bumps is then provided by two-dimensional analyzer system 102 to three-dimensional analyzer system 104, which uses the coordinate data to determine an optimal inspection sequence for performing the three-dimensional inspection.

Three-dimensional analyzer system 104 can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software, and can be a software system operating on a processor of the WAV-1000 Wafer Inspection System. Three-dimensional analyzer system 104 receives coordinate data from two-dimensional analyzer system 102 that identifies the coordinates of the one or more image features. For example, three-dimensional analyzer system 104 can receive coordinate data for a plurality of bumps on a bumped wafer from two-dimensional analyzer system 102. Three-dimensional analyzer system 104 then determines the inspection sequence for performing a three-dimensional inspection of the component. In one exemplary embodiment, the three-dimensional inspection of the component is performed by placing a laser track (such as is formed by tracing a laser beam back and forth repeatedly over a track having a predetermined length) over the one or more features. Pixel data is then generated and the shape of the laser track is then analyzed. The laser track will draw a straight line when placed on a flat surface. Areas over which the surface is not flat will create variations in the otherwise linear laser track. Three-dimensional analyzer system 104 receives image data from digital image processor system 108 when the laser track is placed on the component, and analyzes the image data to determine the three dimensional characteristics of any features that the laser track is placed on.

Three-dimensional analyzer system includes two-dimensional interface system 106, which can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software. Two-dimensional interface system 106 receives the coordinate data for the one or more features from two-dimensional analyzer system 102 and provides the coordinate

Inspection controller system 110 is coupled to three-dimensional analyzer system 104 through communications medium 112, and receives the component placement control data from three-dimensional analyzer system 104. Inspection controller system 110 can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software, and can be a wafer table position controller that includes one or more servo motors or other electromechanical devices that are used to control the position of a wafer inspection table. Inspection controller system 110 can control the placement of the component that is being inspected so that three-dimensional analyzer system 104 can obtain digital image data for processing to determine the three dimensional characteristics of the features of the component.

Communications medium 112 is used to allow two-dimensional analyzer system 102, three-dimensional analyzer system 104, digital image processor system 108, and inspection controller system 110 to interface. Communications medium 112 can be an operating system of the processor of the WAV-1000 Wafer Inspection System, other

operating systems, a data bus, or other suitable communications media.

In operation, system 100 allows a component to be inspected using three-dimensional image analysis based upon feature data that is obtained from two-dimensional image data analysis. The two-dimensional image data analysis is analyzed to identify one or more features of the component, and the component coordinate data is then provided to three-dimensional analyzer system 104. Three-dimensional analyzer system 104 then determines the optimal placement and inspection procedure for inspecting the component for three-dimensional features. Three-dimensional analyzer system 104 can also generate component movement control data so that the component is moved as needed in order to perform the three-dimensional analysis.

**FIGURE 2** is a diagram of a system 200 for performing two-dimensional image data analysis in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. System 200 includes two-dimensional analyzer system 102 and additional functionality that allows coordinate data for a plurality of features to be identified and provided to three-dimensional analyzer system 104.

System 200 includes die-based reference image system 202, test die reference image system 204, image inspection system 206, comparator system 208 and feature location tracking system 210, each of which can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software, and which can be one or more software systems operating on a processor of a WAV-1000 Wafer Inspection System. Die-based reference image system 202 includes die-based reference image data that is gathered from a die prior to the installation of one or more features on

the die. For example, the die can be provided with one or more bumped wafer contacts that are used to connect an integrated circuit on the die to other devices. Die-based reference image system 202 can be used to store an image of the die prior to installation of the contact bumps for use as the reference image for inspection. In this manner, two different images of the component can be used to help detect features of the component that might otherwise be difficult to detect.

Test die reference image system 204 can include one or more test die reference images that are generated from the final component, reference components, or other suitable components. Test die reference image system 204 can include test die images that are provided by an operator from one or more finished dies, test die images that are assembled from portions of one or more finished dies, or other suitable test die reference images.

Image inspection system 206 can receive image inspection data from digital image processor system 108 or other suitable digital image data systems, and can provide the image inspection data to comparator system 208 for analysis. The image inspection data stored in image inspection system 206 is digitally encoded data that is representative of the image of the component that is being inspected.

Comparator system 208 receives the image inspection data from image inspection system 206 in addition to the reference image data from either die-based reference image system 202 or test die reference image system 204, and generates feature location data based upon the difference in the picture element brightness data. For example, comparator system 208 can receive an  $N \times M$  matrix of pixel brightness data from die-based reference image system 202 or test die reference

image system 204, and can subtract the brightness values of each pixel of the N x M matrix from the brightness values of each pixel of the N x M matrix received from image inspection system 206. In this manner, comparator system 208 generates  
5 an N x M matrix of pixels of difference image data, where a pixel has a non-zero brightness value if there is a difference between the pixel brightness value in the test inspection image and the corresponding pixel brightness value in the reference image. Comparator system 208 can also  
10 analyze the difference image data to locate one or more features in the image data, such as by locating groups of pixels in the N x M matrix of the brightness data that have values greater than a predetermined value and a predetermined configuration.

15 Feature location tracking system 210 is coupled to comparator system 208 and receives feature coordinate data after the features are located by comparator system 208. For example, feature location tracking system 210 can receive the coordinate data for each feature of a component as it is  
20 found, and can store the coordinate data in a predetermined database. Feature location tracking system 210 can also determine whether all features of the component have been found based upon an operator-entered number of features or other suitable data.

25 In operation, system 200 is used to analyze two-dimensional digital image data to locate one or more features. Coordinate data identifying the location of each feature is then stored, such as for subsequent provision to a three-dimensional image data analysis system. System 200  
30 allows a reference image to be used that is made on the same or a representative component prior to the installation of the features that are being detected, so as to allow such

features to be more readily detected. Other suitable reference images can also be used.

**FIGURE 3** is a diagram of a system 300 for performing three-dimensional image data analysis in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. System 300 includes three-dimensional analyzer system 104 and additional functionality.

System 300 includes laser placement system 302, three-dimensional image data analysis system 304, and placement controller interface 306, each of which can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software, and which can be software systems operating on the WAV-1000 Wafer Inspection System. Laser placement system 302 receives feature coordinate data from two-dimensional interface system 106 and determines an optimal placement for a laser track such that each of the features is illuminated by the laser track at least once. Laser placement system 302 also generates component movement control data that is used to control the position of the component so that the laser track placement can be accomplished.

Three-dimensional image data analysis system 304 receives digital image data that includes one or more features that have been illuminated by a laser track and determines the three-dimensional coordinates of the features. For example, if the laser track is lying on a flat surface, the line formed by the laser track can be straight. For features that lie above the surface, then the line formed by the laser track can deviate away from the angle of viewing. For features that lie below the surface, the line formed by the laser track can deviate towards the field of viewing. Multiple fields of viewing can also be used to provide a

better estimate of the three-dimensional coordinates of the feature.

5 Placement controller interface 306 receives the component movement control data from laser placement system 302 and interfaces with an inspection controller system 110 so as to control the placement of the component that is being inspected. For example, placement controller interface 306 can provide control commands to the inspection controller system 110 in response to data received from  
10 three-dimensional image data analysis system 304 that indicates whether analysis of the image data created from the current placement of the laser track has been completed. Placement controller interface system 306 can then transmit the next coordinates for the component to be moved to.

15 In operation, system 300 is used to perform a three dimensional analysis of a component to locate the position and size of three-dimensional features. System 300 uses feature coordinate data from a two dimensional analyzer system to determine optimal placement of three dimensional  
20 analysis components, such as laser tracks. In this manner, system 300 allows a piece to be inspected for three-dimensional data without operator intervention after the two dimensional analysis.

**FIGURE 4** is a diagram 400 of two exemplary inspection  
25 schemes in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. Diagram 400 includes inspection scheme A and inspection scheme B.

Inspection scheme A provides for a first two-dimensional inspection site 402, which occurs before the second three-  
30 dimensional inspection site 404. The direction of inspection is thus in the direction of arrow 406. As a component is moved in the direction of arrow 406, it crosses two-



dimensional inspection site 402, first, and then proceeds to three-dimensional inspection site 404. In this manner, data received from two-dimensional inspection site 402 can be used to perform the inspection at three-dimensional inspection site 404. One drawback of inspection scheme A is that inspection can only proceed in the direction of arrow 406. Thus, if the components are placed in rows and columns, such as in the case of dies formed on a silicon wafer, then two-dimensional inspection site 402 must be moved back to the beginning of each row in order to begin inspection of that row.

In contrast, inspection scheme B provides for a two-dimensional inspection to take place at two-dimensional inspection site 408 in the direction shown by arrow 410 and then for a three-dimensional inspection to take place at three-dimensional inspection site 414 in the direction of arrow 416. The component is moved after being placed in two-dimensional inspection site 408 in the direction of arrow 412. Thus, if components are arranged in rows and columns, then they can be inspected at two-dimensional inspection site 408 first, and then a three-dimensional inspection can be performed at three-dimensional inspection site 414 on each component using the data gathered from the two-dimensional inspection. In this manner, component inspection can proceed in either direction such that the silicon wafer or other inspection platform does not have to be returned to the same side at the beginning of a new row. This configuration can provide a timesaving element so as to increase the total volume of components inspected per unit time.

**FIGURE 5** is a diagram of a method 500 for inspecting components in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. Method 500 begins at 502 where a two

In operation, method 500 is used to perform a three dimensional inspection of a component without operator intervention. The coordinate data for the features that are being inspected for the three-dimensional inspection are determined from a two-dimensional inspection, thus

eliminating the need for an operator to identify the features prior to the three-dimensional inspection. Method 500 thus provides for a faster three-dimensional inspection and also provides for increased accuracy and reliability of feature  
5 identification.

**FIGURE 6** is a diagram of a method 600 for performing a two-dimensional and three-dimensional inspection of a component in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. Method 600 begins at 602 where an  
10 inspection image is scanned. For example, a silicon wafer having a plurality of dies formed on it can be inspected. The field of inspection can be the size of one or more die formed on the silicon wafer, such that each inspection image includes at least one die. This inspection image is scanned  
15 at 602 and method proceeds to 604.

At 604, the inspection image is compared to the test image. For example, a test image can be obtained by scanning an image of the component prior to performing a manufacturing step, such as the installation of wafer bumps. Likewise, a  
20 test image can be obtained by using reference images that do not have nonconforming features, or by other suitable procedures. The inspection image is then compared to the test image, such as by subtracting pixel brightness data from corresponding pixels of an  $N \times M$  matrix of the inspection  
25 image and the test image. The method then proceeds to 606.

At 606, features are located from the compare data. For example, when the compare data includes an  $N \times M$  pixel array of difference data, the difference data can be inspected for random variations, lines, circles, or other figures, and  
30 image data processing techniques can be used to locate groups of pixels that have the same form as known predetermined features. These features are detected by this or other

5 suitable methods at 606 and the method proceeds to 608. At 608, the optimal placement of a laser track is determined from the feature coordinates. For example, if a silicon wafer having contact bumps is being inspected, it may be determined that the laser track can be placed over all contact bumps by rotating the silicon wafer 90° four times, such as when the bumps lie along a rectangular outline of the wafer. In this exemplary embodiment, each contact bump can be illuminated at least once by this laser track placement  
10 sequence. Likewise, other suitable placement sequences can also be determined. The method then proceeds to 610.

At 610, it is determined whether movement is required in order to perform the three-dimensional inspection. For example, in the previous example it would be required to move  
15 the component 90° in rotation and perhaps to perform additional X and Y-axis movements. Movement of the device that generates the laser track or other suitable movement can also be performed.

If it is determined at 610 that movement is required,  
20 the method proceeds to 612 where inspection controls are generated. For example, inspection controls can include controls that translate in English as "rotate piece 90° around coordinates [0,0], and then shift component by coordinates [-10,10]." If it is determined at 610 that  
25 movement is not required the method proceeds to 614. At 614, three-dimensional inspection is performed, such as by a single placement of the laser track, multiple placements of laser track, or other suitable procedures. Image data generated during each placement of the laser track, or other  
30 suitable procedures, are then stored and analyzed to determine the location and size of various features.

In operation, method 600, is used to perform a three-dimensional inspection of a component, based on data that has been obtained from a two-dimensional inspection of the component. In this manner, operator intervention to  
5 identify features is not required, thus facilitating the inspection process by allowing it to proceed more quickly and by ensuring that features are not inadvertently overlooked by an operator.

**FIGURE 7** is a diagram 700 of two exemplary image data  
10 sets in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. Diagram 700 can be used to illustrate features of a die-based reference image process and a test die reference image process.

Diagram 700 includes pre-completion pattern 702 and post  
15 completion pattern 704, which are representations of  $N \times M$  digital data sets of a portion of a silicon wafer die prior to the installation of two bump contacts and after the installation of the two bump contacts. Deposition sites 706  
20 and 708 of pre-completion pattern 702 are the locations where the bump contacts will be placed, and can be surface manifestations of underlying metallic or semiconducting material that is used to form an electrical contact with a circuit that has been formed on the silicon wafer. Region  
25 710 is a region of material that has an optical appearance that is similar in pixel brightness value to that of the deposition sites 706 and 708. The spatial separation of deposition sites 706 and 708 and region 710 would allow conventional image data analysis techniques to be used to locate the edges of each of the three features.

30 Post-completion pattern 704 includes bump contacts 712 and 714, which extend into region 710. Although bump contacts 712 and 714 might be able to be detected apart from

region 710, the similarity in pixel gradient values between region 710 and bump contacts 712 and 714 could result in an incorrect determination of the edge of bump contacts 712 and 714 if the digital data that comprises post-completion pattern 704 were analyzed by known image data analysis techniques.

In one exemplary embodiment of the present invention, an N x M pixel array of difference image data is created by subtracting the N x M pixel array of brightness data of post-completion pattern 704 from the N x M pixel array of brightness data of pre-completion pattern 702. The difference data can then be analyzed to detect the edges of bump contacts 712 and 714. This procedure minimizes the effect of region 710 on the image of bump contacts 712 and 714.

In another exemplary embodiment of the present invention, an N x M pixel array of difference image data is created from operator-selected portions of the N x M pixel array of brightness data of post-completion pattern 704. In this exemplary embodiment, an operator can select bump contact 714 as a model for bump contact image data. In this manner, each of the bump contacts of the reference image would be optimized so that the effect of region 710 could be minimized.

In operation, diagram 700 illustrates features of the present invention that allow reference image data to be generated from image data of an inspection component before completion of assembly processes, and after completion of the assembly process. In this manner, reference image data can be created for use in generating difference data, such that features of a component can be readily detected by image data analysis.

Although preferred and exemplary embodiments of a system and method for inspecting bumped wafers have been described in detail herein, those skilled in the art will also recognize that various substitutions and modifications can be  
5 made to the systems and methods without departing from the scope and spirit of the appended claims.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS

1. A system for inspecting a component comprising:  
a two dimensional inspection system locating a  
5 plurality of features on the component and generating  
feature coordinate data; and  
a three dimensional inspection system coupled to the  
two dimensional inspection system, the three dimensional  
inspection system receiving the feature coordinate data and  
10 generating inspection control data.
2. The system of claim 1 further comprising a  
component inspection controller coupled to the three  
dimensional inspection system, the component inspection  
15 controller receiving the inspection control data and  
controlling the location of the component based upon the  
inspection control data.
3. The system of claim 1 further comprising:  
20 a reference image system storing one or more reference  
images;  
a test image system storing test image data; and  
a comparator system coupled to the reference image  
system and the test image system, the comparator system  
25 generating difference data from the reference image data and  
the test image data.
4. The system of claim 3 wherein the reference image  
system comprises a die base reference image system storing  
30 image data of a die prior to installation of bumps.



5. The system of claim 3 wherein the reference image system a test die reference image system storing image data of a test die with installed bumps.

5 6. The system of claim 1 wherein the two dimensional inspection system further comprises a feature location tracking system storing the feature location data and providing the feature location data to the three dimensional inspection system after all features of the component are  
10 located.

7. The system of claim 1 wherein the three dimensional inspection system further comprises a laser placement system that determines the location of a laser  
15 inspection track on the component from the feature location data.

8. The system of claim 1 wherein the three dimensional inspection system further comprises a three  
20 dimensional image data analysis system that receives laser image data and determines three dimensional feature location data from the laser image data.

9. A method for inspecting a component comprising:  
processing two dimensional image data of the component  
to determine location data for each of a plurality of  
features on the component;

5 determining control data for a three dimensional  
inspection of the component from the location data for each  
of the plurality of features; and

performing a three dimensional inspection of the  
component using the control data.

10

10. The method of claim 9 wherein processing the two  
dimensional image data of the component to determine the  
location data for each of the plurality of features on the  
component comprises:

15 comparing test image data to die base reference image  
data to generate difference data; and

analyzing the difference data to determine the location  
of each of the plurality of features.

20 11. The method of claim 9 wherein processing the two  
dimensional image data of the component to determine the  
location data for each of the plurality of features on the  
component comprises:

25 comparing test image data to test die reference image  
data to generate difference data; and

analyzing the difference data to determine the location  
of each of the plurality of features.

12. The method of claim 9 wherein determining the control data for the three dimensional inspection of the component from the location data for each of the plurality of features comprises:

5       determining placement sequence data for a laser inspection track such that the laser inspection track is placed on each of the plurality of features at least once; and

10       determining component movement control data from the placement sequence data.

13. The method of claim 9 wherein performing the three dimensional inspection of the component using the control data comprises:

15       obtaining image data from a laser inspection track on the component; and

      analyzing the image data to determine the location of one or more features.

20       14. The method of claim 13 further comprising moving the component until the image data has been obtained for each of the features on the component.

25       15. The method of claim 13 further comprising generating error data if the location of any of the one or more features is outside of a predetermined location range.

16. A method for processing image data to locate one or more features comprising:

receiving first image data of a component prior to installation of one or more features;

5 receiving second image data of the component after the installation of the one or more features;

comparing the first image data and the second image data to generate difference data; and

determining the location of each of the one or more  
10 features from the difference data.

17. The method of claim 16 wherein receiving the first image data of the component prior to installation of the one or more features comprises receiving the first image data of  
15 a die prior to the installation of one or more contact bumps.

18. The method of claim 16 wherein receiving the first image data of the component prior to installation of the one or more features comprises receiving the first image data of  
20 a representative component prior to the installation of the one or more features.

19. The method of claim 16 further comprising  
25 determining the placement of a three-dimensional inspection component based upon the location of each of the one or more features.

20. The method of claim 19 wherein determining the  
30 placement of the three-dimensional inspection component comprises determining the location of a laser track.



```
graph TD
    102[2-D ANALYZER SYSTEM  
102] --- 112
    104[3-D ANALYZER SYSTEM  
104] --- 112
    106[2-D INTERFACE SYSTEM  
106] --- 112
    108[DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSOR SYSTEM  
108] --- 112
    110[INSPECTION CONTROLLER SYSTEM  
110] --- 112
    112 --- 100
```

100

2-D ANALYZER SYSTEM  
102


3-D ANALYZER SYSTEM  
104

2-D INTERFACE SYSTEM  
106

DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSOR SYSTEM  
108

INSPECTION CONTROLLER SYSTEM  
110

112

100 

```
graph TD; 102[2-D ANALYZER SYSTEM 102] --- 202[DIE BASE REFERENCE IMAGE SYSTEM 202]; 102 --- 204[TEST DIE REFERENCE IMAGE SYSTEM 204]; 102 --- 206[IMAGE INSPECTION SYSTEM 206]; 102 --- 208[COMPARATOR SYSTEM 208]; 102 --- 210[FEATURE LOCATION TRACKING SYSTEM 210]; 202 --- 204; 206 --- 208; 210 --- 208;
```

The diagram illustrates the architecture of a 2-D ANALYZER SYSTEM 102. It is composed of five main functional blocks: DIE BASE REFERENCE IMAGE SYSTEM 202, TEST DIE REFERENCE IMAGE SYSTEM 204, IMAGE INSPECTION SYSTEM 206, COMPARATOR SYSTEM 208, and FEATURE LOCATION TRACKING SYSTEM 210. These blocks are interconnected as follows: DIE BASE REFERENCE IMAGE SYSTEM 202 and TEST DIE REFERENCE IMAGE SYSTEM 204 are connected to each other and to the central 2-D ANALYZER SYSTEM 102. IMAGE INSPECTION SYSTEM 206 and COMPARATOR SYSTEM 208 are connected to each other and to the central 2-D ANALYZER SYSTEM 102. FEATURE LOCATION TRACKING SYSTEM 210 is connected to the central 2-D ANALYZER SYSTEM 102 and the COMPARATOR SYSTEM 208.

↑ 200

3-D ANALYZER SYSTEM  
104

2-D INTERFACE  
SYSTEM  
106

LASER  
PLACEMENT  
SYSTEM  
302

3-D IMAGE DATA  
ANALYSIS  
SYSTEM  
304

PLACEMENT  
CONTROLLER  
INTERFACE  
306




FIGURE 3  
013377.0058



FIGURE 4  
013377.0058

FIGURE 5  
013377.0058

500  
→





FIGURE 6  
103377.0058

600  
→



710

706 708

PRE-COMPLETION PATTERN 702




FIGURE 7  
013377.0058

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

We, Clyde Maxwell Guest, Rajiv (NMI) Roy, Charles K. Harris Weerakiat (NMI) Wahawisan, Thomas C. Carrington, and Younes (NMI) Chtioui, joint inventors herein, hereby declare that:

Our residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to our names.

We believe that we are the original, first and joint inventors of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

"SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INSPECTING BUMPED WAFERS"

the specification and drawings as filed by my attorney on herewith under Attorney Docket No. B—64909 (013377/0058).

We hereby state that we have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to in this declaration.

We acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Patent and Trademark Office all information known to us to be material to the patentability of any claim in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56, and which is material to the examination of this application, namely, information where there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would consider it important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent.

We hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

<u>Country</u>	<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u> <u>(day, month, year)</u>
----------------	------------------------	---

- NONE -

We hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code §112, we acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

U.S. Serial No.

U.S. Filing Date

Status

- NONE -

We hereby appoint CHRISTOPHER J. ROURK, Registration No. 39,348; STEVEN E. ROSS, Registration No. 35,996; KENNETH R. GLASER, Registration No. 24,015; RANDALL C. BROWN, Registration No. 31,213; JOHN M. CONE, Reg. No. 30,538; MICHAEL E. MARTIN, Registration No. 24,821; R. SCOTT RHOADES, Registration No. 44,300, PRISCILLA L. FERGUSON, Registration No. 42,531; JOHN R. EMERSON, Registration No. 44,098 and ALVIN R. WIRTHLIN, Registration No. 40,267 of the firm of AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P., our attorneys and agents to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Send all correspondence to:

Christopher J. Rourk  
AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.  
P.O. Box 688  
Dallas, TX 75313-0688  
Phone: 214/969-4669

We hereby declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of  
first joint Inventor: Clyde Maxwell Guest

Residence: 3256 Portside Lane  
Plano, Texas 75023

Citizenship: United States

Post Office Address: 3256 Portside Lane  
Plano, Texas 75023

Date: 7/21/00

Clyde M. Guest  
Clyde Maxwell Guest

Younes (NMI) Chtioui

13015 Audelia Road #8112  
Dallas, Texas 75243

MOROCCO

13015 Audelia Road #8112  
Dallas, Texas 75243

  
Younes (NMI) Chitoui

Rajiv (NMI) Roy

2900 Mountview Place  
Plano, Texas 75023

United States

2900 Mountview Place  
Plano, Texas 75023

Plano, Texas 75023

*Rajiv Roy*

---

Rajiv (NMI) Roy

Charles K. Harris

9434 Hilldale Drive  
Dallas, Texas 75231

United States

9434 Hilldale Drive  
Dallas, Texas 75231

Charles K. Harris  
Charles K. Harris

Full name of  
fifth joint Inventor:

Weerakiat (NMI) Wahawisan

Residence:

2711 Wentwood Drive E.  
Carrollton, Texas 75007

Citizenship:

United States

Post Office Address:

2711 Wentwood Drive E.  
Carrollton, Texas 75007

Date: July 24, 2000



Full name of  
sixth joint Inventor:

Thomas C. Carrington

Residence:

2916 Knollwood Drive  
Plano, Texas 75075

Citizenship:

United States

Post Office Address:

2916 Knollwood Drive  
Plano, Texas 75075

Date: July 21, 2000

